

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF MISSISSIPPI

DARRELL McBRIDE

APPELLANT

VS.

NO. 2009-KA-0333-COA

STATE OF MISSISSIPPI

APPELLEE

BRIEF FOR THE APPELLEE

THE STATE DOES NOT REQUEST ORAL ARGUMENT.

JIM HOOD, ATTORNEY GENERAL

**BY: CHARLES W. MARIS, JR.
ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL
MISSISSIPPI BAR [REDACTED]**

**OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL
POST OFFICE BOX 220
JACKSON, MS 39205-0220
TELEPHONE: (601) 359-3680**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES	I
STATEMENT OF THE CASE	1
SUMMARY OF THE ARGUMENT	1
ARGUMENT	2
PROPOSITION:	
THE STATE RESPECTFULLY SUBMITS THAT THIS COURT SHOULD DETERMINE AT THIS JUNCTURE WHETHER THE RECORD IN THIS CASE PRESENTS ANY ARGUABLE ISSUE	2
CONCLUSION	3
CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE	4

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

CASES

<i>Bradshaw v. State</i> , 6 So.3d 1123 (Miss.App.2009)	3
<i>Lindsey v. State</i> , 939 So.2d 743 (Miss.2005)	1, 2
<i>Neal v. State</i> , 5 So.3d 1166 (Miss.App. 2009)	3
<i>Smith v. Robbins</i> , 528 U.S. 259 (2000)	2

RULE

Rule 3.1, Miss. Rules Prof. Conduct	3
---	---

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF MISSISSIPPI

DARRELL McBRIDE

APPELLANT

VS.

NO. 2009-KA-0333-COA

STATE OF MISSISSIPPI

APPELLEE

BRIEF FOR APPELLEE

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

Darrell McBride [“McBride”] was convicted in the Circuit Court of Attala County, Honorable C. E. Morgan, III, Circuit Judge, presiding, of the crime of murder. The Court thereafter sentenced him to serve a term of life imprisonment in the custody of the Mississippi Department of Corrections. (C.P. 52) Aggrieved of the judgment thus entered against him, McBride appealed. His defense counsel filed a brief pursuant to the holding of *Lindsey v. State*, 939 So.2d 743 (Miss.2005), after which McBride was given thirty days to file a *pro se* supplemental brief. No such brief was forthcoming.

SUMMARY OF THE ARGUMENT

Pursuant to the procedure outlined in *Lindsey v. State*, 939 So.2d 743 (Miss.2005), this Court at this point must determine whether this case presents any arguable issue, and, if so, order defense counsel to file a supplemental brief which addresses said issue.

ARGUMENT

PREPOSITION

THE STATE RESPECTFULLY SUBMITS THAT THIS COURT SHOULD DETERMINE AT THIS JUNCTURE WHETHER THIS RECORD PRESENTS ANY ARGUABLE ISSUE.

Counsel for McBride filed in this Court a brief stating that he had “diligently searched the procedural and factual history of this criminal action and scoured the record searching for any arguable issues which could be presented on Mr. McBride’s behalf in good faith for appellate review, and upon conclusion, [had] found none.” Brief for Appellant at p. 9. That is, counsel for McBride states that there are no non-frivolous issues to present to this Court. Counsel also confirmed that he has mailed a copy of his brief to McBride and had advised him of his right to file a *pro se* supplemental brief. Finally, counsel asserts that he “stands ready to prepare supplemental memoranda of law on any issues requested by the court.” Brief for Appellant at 10. McBride did not file a *pro se* supplemental brief in this Court.

Pursuant to *Lindsey v. State*, 939 So.2d 743 (Miss.2005), citing *Smith v. Robbins*, 528 U.S. 259 (2000), the State respectfully submits that this Court now must review the record to determine whether defense counsel should be required to file a supplemental brief on behalf of McBride. If the Court finds the existence of any arguable issue, regardless of the probability of McBride’s success on appeal, this Court should order defense counsel to file a supplemental brief. The State then would respond to defense counsel’s supplemental brief. Without finding that there are no arguable, that is, non-frivolous issues, this Court should not consider the merits of any issues it finds, lest it run afoul of McBride’s right to be represented by counsel on appeal. On the other hand, this Court should only order defense counsel to brief issues which it has determined to be arguable, that is non-frivolous,

lest it require defense counsel to violate the Rules of Professional Conduct, which prohibit counsel from raising frivolous issues in court. Rule 3.1, Miss. Rules Prof. Conduct.¹

If this Court finds the existence of no arguable issues, then under *Lindsey*, it should simply affirm the judgment entered in the court below. *Bradshaw v. State*, 6 So.3d 1123 (Miss.App.2009); *Neal v. State*, 5 So.3d 1166 (Miss.App. 2009).


CONCLUSION

The State submits that in accordance with the procedure outlined in *Lindsey*, this Court must determine from the record in this case whether any arguable issue exists, and if so, order defense counsel to file a supplemental brief on behalf of his client. If no arguable issue is found, this Court should simply affirm the judgment below.

Respectfully submitted,

JIM HOOD, ATTORNEY GENERAL

BY:


CHARLES W. MARIS, JR.
ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL
MISSISSIPPI BAR NO [REDACTED]

OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL
POST OFFICE BOX 220
JACKSON, MS 39205-0220
TELEPHONE: (601) 359-3680

¹"A lawyer shall not bring or defend a proceeding, or assert or controvert an issue therein, unless there is a basis in law and in fact for doing so that is not frivolous, which includes a good faith argument for an extension, modification or reversal of existing law. A lawyer for the defendant in a criminal proceeding, or the respondent in a proceeding that could result in incarceration, may nevertheless so defend the proceeding as to require that every element of the case be established."

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Charles W. Maris, Jr., Assistant Attorney General for the State of Mississippi, do hereby certify that I have this day mailed, postage prepaid, a true and correct copy of the above and foregoing **BRIEF FOR THE APPELLEE** to the following:


Honorable C. E. Morgan, III
Circuit Court Judge
P. O. Box 721
Kosciusko, MS 39090

Honorable Doug Evans
District Attorney
P. O. Box 1262
Grenada, MS 38902-1262

W. Daniel Hinchcliff, Esq.
Mississippi Office of Indigent Appeals
301 N. Lamar Street, Suite 210
Jackson, Mississippi 39201

Darrell McBride
MDOC #101209
Parchman, MS 38738

This the 24th day of June, 2009.



CHARLES W. MARIS, JR.
ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL

OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL
POST OFFICE BOX 220
JACKSON, MISSISSIPPI 39205-0220
TELEPHONE: (601) 359-3680