

**IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF MISSISSIPPI**

**JESSIE L. WILSON**

**APPELLANT**

**VS.**

**NO. 2008-KA-1919-SCT**

**STATE OF MISSISSIPPI**

**APPELLEE**

**BRIEF FOR THE APPELLEE**

**THE STATE DOES NOT REQUEST ORAL ARGUMENT.**

**JIM HOOD, ATTORNEY GENERAL**

**BY: CHARLES W. MARIS, JR.  
ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL**

**[REDACTED]**

**OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL  
POST OFFICE BOX 220  
JACKSON, MS 39205-0220  
TELEPHONE: (601) 359-3680**

## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

<b>TABLE OF AUTHORITIES .....</b>	<b>i</b>
<b>STATEMENT OF THE CASE .....</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>SUMMARY OF THE ARGUMENT .....</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>ARGUMENT .....</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>PROPOSITION:</b>	
<b>THE STATE RESPECTFULLY SUBMITS THAT THIS COURT SHOULD DETERMINE AT THIS JUNCTURE WHETHER THE RECORD IN THIS CASE PRESENTS ANY ARGUABLE ISSUE .....</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>CONCLUSION .....</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE .....</b>	<b>4</b>

## **TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**

### **CASES**

<b><i>Bradshaw v. State</i>, 6 So.3d 1123 (Miss.App.2009) .....</b>	<b>3</b>
<b><i>Lindsey v. State</i>, 939 So.2d 743 (Miss.2005) .....</b>	<b>1, 2</b>
<b><i>Neal v. State</i>, 5 So.3d 1166 (Miss.App. 2009) .....</b>	<b>3</b>
<b><i>Smith v. Robbins</i>, 528 U.S. 259 (2000) .....</b>	<b>2</b>

### **RULE**

<b>Rule 3.1, Miss. Rules Prof. Conduct .....</b>	<b>3</b>
--	----------

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF MISSISSIPPI

JESSIE L. WILSON

APPELLANT

VS.

NO. 2008-KA-01919-SCT

STATE OF MISSISSIPPI

APPELLEE

**BRIEF FOR APPELLEE**

**STATEMENT OF THE CASE**

Jessie L. Wilson ["Wilson"] was convicted in the Circuit Court of Sunflower County, Honorable W. Ashley Hinesmu4rderuit Judge, presiding, of the crime of murder. The Court thereafter sentenced him to serve a term of life imprisonment in the custody of the Mississippi Department of Corrections. (C.P. 52) Aggrieved of the judgment thus entered against him, Wilson appealed. His defense counsel filed a brief pursuant to the holding of *Lindsey v. State*, 939 So.2d 743 (Miss.2005), after which Wilson was given more than forty days to file a *pro se* supplemental brief. No such brief was forthcoming within the time prescribed.

**SUMMARY OF THE ARGUMENT**

Pursuant to the procedure outlined by this Court in *Lindsey v. State*, 939 So.2d 743 (Miss.2005), this Court at this point must determine whether this case presents any arguable issue, and, if so, order defense counsel to file a supplemental brief which addresses said issue.

## ARGUMENT

### PREPOSITION

#### **THE STATE RESPECTFULLY SUBMITS THAT THIS COURT SHOULD DETERMINE AT THIS JUNCTURE WHETHER THIS RECORD PRESENTS ANY ARGUABLE ISSUE.**

---

Counsel for Wilson filed in this Court a brief stating that he had “diligently searched the procedural and factual history of this criminal action and scoured the record searching for any arguable issues which could be presented on Mr. Wilson’s behalf in good faith for appellate review, and upon conclusion, [had] found none.” Brief for Appellant at p. 4. That is, counsel for Wilson states that there are no non-frivolous issues to present to this Court. Counsel also confirmed that he had mailed a copy of his brief to Wilson, and had advised him of his right to file a *pro se* supplemental brief. Finally, counsel asserts that he “stands ready to prepare supplemental memoranda of law on any issues requested by the court.” Brief for Appellant at 5. Wilson did not file a *pro se* supplemental brief in this Court.

Pursuant to *Lindsey v. State*, 939 So.2d 743 (Miss.2005), citing *Smith v. Robbins*, 528 U.S. 259 (2000), the State respectfully submits that this Court now must review the record to determine whether defense counsel should be required to file a supplemental brief on behalf of Wilson. If the Court finds the existence of any arguable issue, regardless of the probability of Wilson’s success on appeal, this Court should order defense counsel to file a supplemental brief. The State then would respond to defense counsel’s supplemental brief. Without finding that there are no arguable, that is, non-frivolous issues, this Court should not consider the merits of any issues it finds, lest it run afoul of Wilson’s right to be represented by counsel on appeal. On the other hand, this Court should only order defense counsel to brief issues which it has determined to be arguable, that is, non-frivolous,

lest it require defense counsel to violate the Rules of Professional Conduct, which prohibit counsel from raising frivolous issues in court. Rule 3.1, Miss. Rules Prof. Conduct.<sup>1</sup>

If this Court finds the existence of no arguable issues, then under *Lindsey*, it should simply affirm the judgment entered in the court below. *Bradshaw v. State*, 6 So.3d 1123 (Miss.App.2009); *Neal v. State*, 5 So.3d 1166 (Miss.App. 2009).



### CONCLUSION

The State submits that in accordance with the procedure outlined in *Lindsey*, this Court must determine from the record in this case whether any arguable issue exists, and if so, order defense counsel to file a supplemental brief on behalf of his client. If no arguable issue is found, this Court should simply affirm the judgment below.

Respectfully submitted,

JIM HOOD, ATTORNEY GENERAL

BY:

  
CHARLES W. MARIS, JR.  
ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL  


OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL  
POST OFFICE BOX 220  
JACKSON, MS 39205-0220  
TELEPHONE: (601) 359-3680

---

<sup>1</sup>“A lawyer shall not bring or defend a proceeding, or assert or controvert an issue therein, unless there is a basis in law and in fact for doing so that is not frivolous, which includes a good faith argument for an extension, modification or reversal of existing law. A lawyer for the defendant in a criminal proceeding, or the respondent in a proceeding that could result in incarceration, may nevertheless so defend the proceeding as to require that every element of the case be established.”

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I, Charles W. Maris, Jr., Assistant Attorney General for the State of Mississippi, do hereby certify that I have this day mailed, postage prepaid, a true and correct copy of the above and foregoing **BRIEF FOR THE APPELLEE** to the following:


Honorable W. Ashley Hines  
Circuit Court Judge  
P. O. Box 1315  
Greenville, MS 38702-1315

Honorable Dewayne Richardson  
District Attorney  
P. O. Box 426  
Greenville, MS 38702

Justin T. Cook, Esq.  
Mississippi Office of Indigent Appeals  
301 N. Lamar Street, Suite 210  
Jackson, Mississippi 39201

Jessie L. Wilson, Federal Reg. No. 99919555  
USP Florence Admax  
Florence, CO 81226

This the 3<sup>rd</sup> day of September, 2009.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
CHARLES W. MARIS, JR.  
ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL

OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL  
POST OFFICE BOX 220  
JACKSON, MISSISSIPPI 39205-0220  
TELEPHONE: (601) 359-3680