IN THE SUPREME COURT OF MISSISSIPPI NO. 2008-CA-01763

DR. CHARLES HALL, JANET H. CLARK,
BEATRICE LANGSTON BERRY, KATE SHARP,
BELINDA BOOZER, WILLIAM MURPHY,
CAROL MURPHY, STEVE HANNEKE, MARY ELLEN
MARTIN, MARY S. GODBOLD, BOOBBY J. STOKES,
KEVIN CAMP, GARY E. PAYNE, MARIA ROSA
GUTIERREZ, DENISE MICHELLE WILSON,
MARY BISHOFF, JOHN AUSTIN EVANS, MEL EVANS,
TED FRENCH, ESTHER FRENCH, LARRY STOWE,
PAIGE STOWE, and KIM H. LOPER Individually and as
Landowners, Residents, Taxpayers, and Interested Citizens
of the City of Ridgeland, Mississippi, and for and on behalf
of those similarly situated persons comprising Z.O.N.E.,
(Zoning Ordinances Need Enforcement)

APPELLANTS/CROSS-APPELLEES

VS.

THE CITY OF RIDGELAND, MISSISSIPPI

APPELLEE

AND

MADISON COUNTY LAND COMPANY LLC, SOUTHERN FARM BUREAU BROKERAGE COMPANY, INC., BAILEY-MADISON, LLC, 200 RENAISSANCE, LLC, RENAISSANCE AT COLONY PARK, LLC, AND 100 RENAISSANCE, LLC

APPELLEES/CROSS-APPELLANTS

Appeal From The Circuit Court, Madison County, Mississippi Trial Court No. Cl2007-0401-R

APPELLEE'S BRIEF

JERRY L. MILLS [MB# JAMES H. GABRIEL [MB PYLE, MILLS, DYE & PITTMAN 800 Avery Boulevard North, Suite 101 Ridgeland, MS 39157 Telephone: 601/957-2600

Facsimile: 601/957-7440

CERTIFICATE OF INTERESTED PARTIES

The undersigned counsel of record certifies that the following listed persons have an interest in the outcome of this case. These representations are made in order that the justices of the Supreme Court and/or judges of the Court of Appeals may evaluate possible disqualification or recusal.

- 1. Dr. Charles Hall, Appellant/Cross-Appellee
- 2. Janet H. Clark, Appellant/Cross-Appellee
- 3. Beatrice Langston Berry, Appellant/Cross-Appellee
- 4. Kate Sharp, Appellant/Cross-Appellee
- 5. Belinda Boozer, Appellant/Cross-Appellee
- 6. William Murphy, Appellant/Cross-Appellee
- 7. Carol Murphy, Appellant/Cross-Appellee
- 8. Steve Hanneke, Appellant/Cross-Appellee
- 9. Mary Ellen Martin, Appellant/Cross-Appellee
- 10. Mary S. Godbold, Appellant/Cross-Appellee
- 11. Bobby J. Stokes, Appellant/Cross-Appellee
- 12. Kevin Camp, Appellant/Cross-Appellee
- 13. Gary E. Payne, Appellant/Cross-Appellee
- 14. Maria Rosa Gutierrez, Appellant/Cross-Appellee
- 15. Denise Michelle Wilson, Appellant/Cross-Appellee
- 16. Mary Bishoff, Appellant/Cross-Appellee
- 17. John Austin Evans, Appellant/Cross-Appellee
- 18. Mel Evans, Appellant/Cross-Appellee
- 19. Ted French, Appellant/Cross-Appellee
- 20. Esther French, Appellant/Cross-Appellee
- 21. Larry Stowe, Appellant/Cross-Appellee

- 22. Paige Stowe, Appellant/Cross-Appellee
- 23. Kim Loper, Appellant/Cross-Appellee
- 24. Steven H. Smith, attorney for Appellants/Cross-Appellees
- 25. James H. Gabriel, Jerry L. Mills, Pyle, Mills, Dye & Pittman, Attorney(s) for the City of Ridgeland, Mississippi, Appellee/Cross-Appellant
- 26. James A. Peden, Jr., Stennett, Wilkinson & Peden, P.A., Attorney(s)

for Appellees/Cross-Appellants

27. Glenn G. Taylor, D. James Blackwood, Lindsey M. Turk, Copeland, Cook,

Taylor & Bush, P.A., Attorneys for Appellees/Cross-Appellants

- 28. Hon. Samac Richardson, Circuit Judge of Madison County
- 29. H.C. "Buster" Bailey, Jr.
- 30. James L. Barksdale
- 31. Madison County Land Company, LLC, Appellee/Cross-Appellant
- 32. Southern Farm Bureau Brokerage Company, LLC, Appellee/Cross-

Appellant

- 33. Bailey-Madison, LLC, Appellee/Cross-Appellant
- 34. 200 Renaissance, LLC, Appellee/Cross-Appellant
- 35. Renaissance At Colony Park, LLC, Appellee/Cross-Appellant
- 36. 100 Renaissance, LLC, Appellee/Cross-Appellant
- 37. Lawson Hester, Esq.
- 38. Rod Ward, Esq.
- 39. Mayor Gene McGee, City of Ridgeland
- 40. Alderman Gerald Steen
- 41. Alderman Ken Heard
- 42. Alderman Scott Jones

- 43. Alderman Larry Roberts
- 44. Alderman Kevin Holder
- 45. Alderman Linda Davis
- 46. Alderman Chuck Gauti

THIS, the 28th day of July, 2009.

Jerry L. Mills

Pyle, Mills, Dye and Pittman

800 Avery Blvd

Ridgeland, Ms.

ATTORNEY FOR THE CITY OF RIDGELAND, MISSISSIPPI

TABLE OF CONTENTS

		Page(s)
ł.	CERTIFICATE OF INTERESTED PARTIES	i
11.	TABLE OF CONTENTS	ii
III.	ARGUMENT	1
IV.	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE	4

ARGUMENT

This case involves a matter to which careful attention has been given. A group of Ridgeland citizens voiced concerns over the propriety of permitting the construction of a multi-story office building. Many others favored the proposed building and offered public support. The consideration of the factual and legal issues involved was anything but routine. Unusual time and effort was devoted to public hearings allowing all interested parties¹ to have an opportunity to be heard on the relevant issues.

The City's elected officials, on both sides of the issue, spent countless hours reviewing the questions involved, hearing the evidence, considering reasonable interpretations of the City's ordinances and finally voting. In the end the elected officials determined that the use should be granted.

Though Ridgeland's ordinance makes it clear that a "conditional use" and "special exception" have the same meaning in the ordinance, this writer would observe that the use of the term "special exception" took on unfortunate, non-technical connotations falsely leading many of the objectors to believe that a request was being made to allow construction not permitted by the City's zoning ordinance. An organization was formed – Z.O.N.E. (Zoning Ordinances Need Enforcement) which frequently asserted building height was limited to 4 stories in the zone where the building was constructed. While the passion of the opponents is appreciated, such a statement is not factually correct. The Ridgeland Ordinance provides for greater height as a conditional use.² A conditional use is appropriate and permitted without rezoning

¹ Regardless of what this Court ultimately determines on the standing issues raised, the City of Ridgeland allowed anyone remotely interested to be heard.

² In fact no zone in Ridgeland allows as a first permitted use, any building over four stories.

when "with certain restrictions and conditions" the use would promote the public health, safety, morals or general welfare of the City and would not adversely affect adjacent properties". Section 21, Ridgeland Zoning Ordinance.

Though there was sharply divided opinion on the subject, the record certainly supports the finding made by the Board of Aldermen. The proposed building (now largely constructed) is surrounded by the top end commercial development in the state. It is a part of planned development of the absolute highest quality. It is located on a busy Interstate Highway. Both lay and expert testimony clearly supports the actions of the City. The decision of the Mayor and Board should be sustained.

Because the brief of the Bailey Companies addresses each of the pertinent legal issues, doing so here would amount to little more than repetition. That brief discusses the legal authorities applicable to the matter before the Court. In order to avoid repetition, the City of Ridgeland joins the brief of the Bailey Companies. Ridgeland urges this Court to affirm the Circuit Court and the Mayor and Board of Aldermen on the direct appeal.

The cross appeal raises issues of substantial importance to all government bodies having zoning powers. Guidance from this Court on the issues raised on the cross appeal would certainly be helpful. Ridgeland submits that the brief of the Cross-Appellant correctly sets out the law and to the extent permitted, joins in that portion of the brief.

In closing, this is an issue of monumental importance to the City of Ridgeland.

The brevity of this brief should in no way diminish Ridgeland's deep concern with this

matter. Brevity is occasioned solely because of the excellent brief³ of the Bailey Companies and an expectation this Court does not want, nor need mere repetition of what has been so well presented.

Respectfully submitted,

City of Ridgeland, Mississippi

Jefry L. Mills, Afforney for Appellees

Of Counsel:

Jerry L, Mills, [MB # James H. Gabriel, [MB # PYLE, MILLS, DYE & PITTMAN 800 Avery Blvd. North, Ste. 101 Ridgeland, MS 39157

Telephone: 601-957-2600 Facsimile: 601-957-7440

³ Some 66 pages by permission of this Court.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, the undersigned Jerry L. Mills, of counsel for City of Ridgeland, Appellees, do hereby certify that I have this day sent by United States mail, postage prepaid, a true and exact copy of the foregoing Brief to

James A. Peden, Jr., Esq. Stennett, Wilkinson & Peden P. O. Box 13308 Jackson, MS 39236-3308

Thomas A. Cook, Esq.
Glenn Gates Taylor, Esq.
D. James Blackwood, Jr., Esq.
Copeland Cook Taylor & Bush
P. O. Box 6020
Ridgeland, MS 39158-6020

Steven H. Smith, Esq. 1855 Lakeland Drive, Suite R-309 Jackson, MS 39216

Honorable Samac S. Richardson Post Office Box 1662 Canton, MS 39046

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have affixed my signature on this, the 28th day of July, 2009.

Jerry L. Mills