

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF MISSISSIPPI

ANTHONY WILLIAMS

APPELLANT

FILED

JUN 3 0 2008

VS.

OFFICE OF THE CLERK SUPREME COURT COURT OF APPEALS

NO. 2007-CP-2143

STATE OF MISSISSIPPI

APPELLEE

BRIEF FOR THE APPELLEE

APPELLEE DOES NOT REQUEST ORAL ARGUMENT

JIM HOOD, ATTORNEY GENERAL

BY: **DEIRDRE MCCRORY**

SPECIAL ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL

MISSISSIPPI BAR NO.

OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL **POST OFFICE BOX 220 JACKSON, MS 39205-0220** TELEPHONE: (601) 359-3680

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES	ii
STATEMENT OF THE CASE	1
SUMMARY OF THE ARGUMENT	2
PROPOSITION:	
THE CIRCUIT COURT DID NOT ERR IN DENYING WILLIAMS' PETITION SUMMARILY	2
CONCLUSION	3
CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE	4

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

STATE CASES

Graves v. State, 822 So.2d 1089 (Miss. Ct. App. 2002)	3
Moore v. State, 976 So.2d 930, 931 (Miss. App. 2007)	3
Sweat v. State, 912 So.2d 458, 461 (Miss. 2005)	3
Thornhill v. State, 919 So.2d 238, 240 (Miss. App. 2005)	3

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF MISSISSIPPI

ANTHONY WILLIAMS

APPELLANT

VERSUS

NO. 2007-CP-2143-COA

STATE OF MISSISSIPPI

APPELLEE

BRIEF FOR APPELLEE

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

On January 26, 1999, Anthony Williams pleaded guilty in the Circuit Court of Jefferson Davis County to a charge of sale of cocaine. Thereafter, he was sentenced to a term of 15 years in the custody of the Mississippi Department of Corrections, with seven years to serve and eight years suspended on post-release supervision. (C.P.25-28) On July 12, 2006, Williams executed a waiver of his rights to notice and/or waiting period prior to preliminary post-release hearing. (C.P.30) On July 24, 2006, Williams signed a waiver of his right to revocation hearing, which included an admission that he had thereby "consented to an immediate revocation" of his probation. (C.P.31) On that date, the Circuit Court of Jefferson County entered an order finding that Williams had violated the terms of his probation by his arrest on two occasions for domestic violence, revoking Williams' probation, and ordering him to serve the first three years of the eight-year term on Intensive

Supervision Program (house arrest), with the remaining five years to be served on post-release supervision. (C.P.32)

Thereafter, Williams filed in the circuit court a petition for post-conviction collateral relief, asserting that the state failed to afford him a revocation hearing, and that the sentence to house arrest was illegal. (C.P.34) The court summarily denied the petition on October 17, 2007. (C.P.46-47) Aggrieved by the judgment rendered against him, Williams has perfected an appeal to this Court.

SUMMARY OF THE ARGUMENT

The circuit court did not err in denying Williams' petition without a hearing. In that petition, Williams failed to acknowledge that he had waived his right to a final revocation hearing and had consented to the immediate revocation of his probation. Furthermore, he could not be heard to complain of an illegally lenient sentence.

PROPOSITION:

THE CIRCUIT COURT DID NOT ERR IN DENYING WILLIAMS' PETITION SUMMARILY

As the court stated in its order, "the thrust" of Williams' petition was "that the State of Mississippi failed to afford him a revocation hearing." (C.P.46) Williams acknowledged that he waived his right to a preliminary hearing, but failed to recognize that he also waived his right to the final hearing. (C.P.31) By signing that waiver, Williams acknowledged that he had "an absolute right to a hearing before the Court to answer the charges" and that he was thereby waiving that right and consenting to the immediate revocation of his probation. (C.P. 31) It follows that no error can be shown in the circuit court's summary dismissal of this ground for relief.

With respect to Williams' challenge to the legality of the sentence to house arrest, the court made the following findings and conclusions:

While the Court concedes that the petition was ineligible for house arrest, he has not been prejudiced under *Graves v. State*, 822 So.2d 1089 (Miss. Ct. App. 2002). In *Graves*, the Mississippi Court of Appeals held a defendant has no cause to complain when given a sentence more favorable than a legal sentence would have been. Here, the petitioner['s] sentence of house arrest is clearly more favorable and lenient than incarceration in an MDOC facility, the only legal sentence available. Therefore, this Court is compelled to deny the requested relief.

(C.P.47)

Accord, *Thornhill v. State*, 919 So.2d 238, 240 (Miss. App. 2005). The court's conclusion is factually and legally correct. "[T]here is no prejudice suffered when a defendant receives an illegally lenient sentence." *Sweat v. State*, 912 So.2d 458, 461 (Miss.2005), quoted in *Moore v. State*, 976 So.2d 930, 931 (Miss. App. 2007). For these reasons, the circuit court properly denied Williams' petition.

CONCLUSION

The state respectfully submits the circuit court properly denied Williams' petition for post-conviction collateral relief. The judgment entered below should be affirmed.

Respectfully submitted,

JIM HOOD, ATTORNEY GENERAL STATE OF MISSISSIPPI

BY: DEIRDRE McCRORY

SPECIAL ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Deirdre McCrory, Special Assistant Attorney General for the State of Mississippi, do hereby certify that I have this day mailed, postage prepaid, a true and correct copy of the above and foregoing BRIEF FOR THE APPELLEE to the following:

Honorable Michael R. Eubanks Circuit Court Judge P. O. Box 1075 Picayune, MS 39466

Honorable Haldon J. Kittrell
District Attorney
500 Courthouse Square, Suite 3
Columbus, MS 39429

Anthony Williams, #R7803
South Mississippi Correctional Institution (S.M.C. I.)
Post Office Box 1419
Leakesville, Mississippi 39451-1419

This the 30th day of June, 2008.

DÈIRDRE MCCRORY

SPECIAL ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL

OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL POST OFFICE BOX 220 JACKSON, MISSISSIPPI 39205-0220 TELEPHONE: (601) 359-3680