

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF MISSISSIPPI

LEROY CAMPBELL

The Late

APPELLANT

JUN 0 7 2007

VS.

STATEME COURT COURT OF APPEALS

NO. 2006-CP-2090-COA

STATE OF MISSISSIPPI

APPELLEE

BRIEF FOR THE APPELLEE

APPELLEE DOES NOT REQUEST ORAL ARGUMENT

JIM HOOD, ATTORNEY GENERAL

BY: JEFFREY A. KLINGFUSS

SPECIAL ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL

MISSISSIPPI BAR NO.

OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL POST OFFICE BOX 220 JACKSON, MS 39205-0220 TELEPHONE: (601) 359-3680

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES	3
STATEMENT OF THE CASE	5
STATEMENT OF FACTS	6
SUMMARY OF THE ARGUMENT	7
ARGUMENT	8
THE TRIAL COURT DID NOT ERR IN DENYING THE	
MOTION TO CORRECT AND MODIFY SENTENCE	8
CONCLUSION	. 11
CEDTIFICATE OF SEDVICE	12

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

FEDERAL CASES

Boutwell v. Nagle, 861 F.2d 1530 (11th Cir. 1988)										
Palmer v. Dugger, 833 F.2d 253 (C.A.11 (Fla. 1987)										
STATE CASES										
Gable v. State, 919 So.2d 1075, 1077										
Holland v. State, 418 So.2d 73 (Miss 1982)										
McClendon v. State, 539 So.2d 1375 (Miss. 1989)9										
Melton v. State, 930 So.2d 452 (Miss.App. 2006)										
Schmitt v. State, 560 So.2d 148, 151 (Miss. 1990)										
Taylor v. State, 726 So.2d 227 (Miss.App. 1998)										
OTHER AUTHORITIES										
Miss Code Ann. 99-39-23(7) 9										

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF MISSISSIPPI

LEROY CAMPBELL

APPELLANT

VS.

NO. 2006-CP-2090-COA

STATE OF MISSISSIPPI

APPELLEE

BRIEF FOR THE APPELLEE

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

Defendant pled guilty to the crime embezzlement as quite an habitual offender. (Indictment c.p 9-10, seven previous felony convictions listed). Within the statutory period for filing defendant filed a motion which was treated as a post-conviction petition and denied (C.p. 19 & 30).

With *liberal* application of the "prison mailbox rule" it could be argued the present appeal was timely noticed.

STATEMENT OF FACTS

It would appear from the record and reasonable inferences therefrom defendant was arrested for embezzlement and left the State of Mississippi, becoming a fugitive from justice. Eventually he was apprehended in Louisiana and brought back to Mississippi. He eventually pled guilty to the crime, and was sentenced to a term of two years as an habitual offender, – meaning two years day-for-day, credit for time served.

Defendant thought he should be given credit for the time served pre-trial, when he was a fugitive from justice and incarcerated in other States. Since this is a question of application and calculation of credit for time served, post-conviction proceedings are not the proper proceedings to seek redress.

This appeal should be dismissed for lack of subject matter jurisdiction or alternatively affirmed as the trial court was correct in his narrow ruling in denying relief.

Defendant will be released, having served his entire sentence, on or about October 30, 2007.

SUMMARY OF THE ARGUMENT

I.
THE TRIAL COURT DID NOT ERR IN DENYING THE MOTION
TO CORRECT AND MODIFY SENTENCE.

ARGUMENT

T.

THE TRIAL COURT DID NOT ERR IN DENYING THE MOTION TO CORRECT AND MODIFY SENTENCE.

The issue before this Court is whether the trial court was correct in denying the motion to correct and modify sentence for the amount of pre-trial credit for time served.

The standard of review this Court should apply in reviewing this case is to give great deference to findings of the trial court. *Schmitt v. State*, 560 So.2d 148, 151 (Miss. 1990) (clearly erroneous standard of review for findings of trial judge on post-conviction rulings). Based upon this standard of review it is the position of the State that the trial court was correct in denying the motion to alter the sentence.

The law is clear. A defendant is not entitled to credit for time spent in another state awaiting return to this State to face criminal charges. *Holland v. State*, 418 So.2d 73 (Miss 1982). Further such claim is without a constitutional basis. There is no constitutional requirement that defendant be given credit for time served in another state absent statutory grant of such credit. *Boutwell v. Nagle*, 861 F.2d 1530 (11th Cir. 1988) citing, *Palmer v. Dugger*, 833 F.2d 253 (C.A.11 (Fla. 1987). And, as cited above the Mississippi Supreme Court has specifically held that our statute, *Miss Code Ann.* § 99-19-23 has no application to time served in another state while an accused is awaiting return to this State to face criminal charges. *Holland*, 418 So.2d 73.

Additionally and quite contrary to the position of defendant Taylor v. State, 726

So.2d 227 (Miss.App. 1998) clearly holds defendant is not entitled to credit for time spend in Louisiana jail. The facts defendant cites from *Taylor* support this contention. (Taylor was taken into custody the day he signed the waiver.) Time is calculated from the day a defendant is in Mississippi custody.

Additionally, the State would ask this Court to take judicial notice (M.R.E. 201(d)) of the attached Mississippi Department of Corrections time calculation report. (Exhibit A – State's Brief; Exhibit B, c.p. 28). The report shows defendant did receive credit for all the time he was incarcerated in Mississippi. Any incarceration in Louisiana is not to be counted as pre-trial credit, regardless of when or why defendant signed a waiver.

Consequently, based on the motion and all that was presented to the trial court it is clear that this defendant failed to meet his burden of proof as required under *Miss Code Ann.* 99-39-23(7), which states that "no relief shall be granted under this chapter unless the prisoner proves by a preponderance of the evidence that he is entitled to such." See, *McClendon v. State*, 539 So.2d 1375 (Miss. 1989) & *Schmitt, supra*. Legally and factually, this defendant did not present a claim to which he was entitled to the requested relief. Consequently, the trial court was absolutely correct in his ordering denying the motion for post-conviction relief as defendant got credit for all pre-trial time to which he was legally entitled.

However, there is another rationale for denying relief and affirming the trial

court's denial of post-conviction relief.

¶ 14. This Court has held that "a post-conviction relief pleading is not the proper means to calculate and receive credit for ... time served." Gable v. State, 919 So.2d 1075, 1077 (¶¶ 6-8) (Miss.Ct.App.2005) (quoting Murphy v. State, 800 So.2d 525, 527-28(¶ 10) (Miss.Ct.App.2001)). If Melton is aggrieved by the calculation of credit for time served, he should send a request to the proper authorities within the Mississippi Department of Corrections administrative system. Id. If Melton is denied credit for time served, he may then seek redress from the courts. Id. This issue is not a proper subject for a motion for post-conviction relief. Id.

Melton v. State, 930 So.2d 452 (Miss.App. 2006).

Accordingly, this appeal should be dismissed for lack of subject matter jurisdiction. *Melton*.

Regardless, no relief should be granted.

CONCLUSION

Based upon the arguments presented herein as supported by the record on appeal the State would ask this reviewing court to affirm the trial court denial of postconviction relief.

Respectfully submitted,

JIM HOOD, ATTORNEY GENERAL

BY:

SSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL

MISSISSIPPI BAR NO.

OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL **POST OFFICE BOX 220**

JACKSON, MS 39205-0220

TELEPHONE: (601) 359-3680

Mississippi Department of Corrections Inmate Time Sheet

Computation Date: 09/18/2006 10:55 Offender: CAMPBELL, LEROY 62840

Housing: WILKINSON CCF

Date Printed: 06/07/2007 11:49

CONSECUTIVE

DATE DESCRIPTION 07/1006 82401-2005-179/1 27∞:EMBEZZLE 2Y	Computation Details:	Total Jail Time: 253 Overrida:	11/05/04 11/23/64 18	11/17/05 07/10/06 235	FROM TO DAYS	Pre Trial/Pre Sentence Jail Time:	HISI RIP CHICAGOE		প্ত	DATE CAUSE/COUNT OFFENSE	Jentences:
			`	_			<i>.</i>		1	C	
							-		1047/04	COMMITTED COUNTY	
								-	Hanison	COUNTY	
								٠	24	SERVE	
										House	
									~	PROBATION HAB	
										DEFERRED	
	-									OVERRIDE	
										DEFERRED OVERRIDE CONCURRENT	

Total Term To Serve: 2Y

Total Earned Time: 0D

Earned Time Lost: 0D

Summary:

Begin Date

House Arrest Date

Parole Date

Tent. ERS Date

Tentative Discharge Max Discharge

End Date

10/30/2007

10/30/2007

Total MET Earned: 0D

Total Trusty Time Earned: 0D

10/30/2005

Comments:

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Jeffrey A. Klingfuss, Special Assistant Attorney General for the State of Mississippi, do hereby certify that I have this day mailed, postage prepaid, a true and correct copy of the above and foregoing BRIEF FOR THE APPELLEE AND EXHIBIT to the following:

Honorable Roger T. Clark Circuit Court Judge Post Office Box 1461 Gulfport, MS 39502

Honorable Cono Caranna District Attorney Post Office Drawer 1180 Gulfport, MS 39502

W.C.C.F.
Post Office Box 1079
2999 U.S. Hwy 61 North
Woodville, MS 39669

This the 7th day of June, 2007.

JEFFREY A. KYINGFUSS/

SPECIAL ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL

OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL POST OFFICE BOX 220 JACKSON, MISSISSIPPI 39205-0220 TELEPHONE: (601) 359-3680