GOIPY

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF MISSISSIPPE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF MISSISSIPPE

Robert & Scruggs

FILED

Appellant

٧

MAR 1 5 2007

NO. 2006 -CP-01942

Joe CAldwell

OFFICE OF THE CLERK SUPREME COURT COURT OF APPEALS

Appellee's

BAREF IN Support FOR Relief FROM THE DENIAL OF Public RECORDS

Comes LOW appellant Robert S. Scruggs, with This Brief
From The dismissal of public Records IN The Chancery COURT
OF Alcorn County, Mississippi,

Miss. Code Ann. 25-61-1 + 25-61-13, nothing in this section Shall be construed to prevent Any And all public bodies From The Public Request.

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

Appellant Robert S. Scruggs. Requested Public Records unclear Hiss. code ANN. 25-61-1 + 25-61-13 and 25-61-15, This Request was conformled with opposition the Appellee's hired the services of coursel.

The Appellee's Coursel acknowledge the Right's to inspect and copy these Requested Public Records, A Trial date was set, and appellant scauges was presented for his examination was not the full public Records Requested, some was not legible, not capable of being head, others were so liking visual representation, that they could not be used, or clearly seen.

The Appellee's Claemed to not have other Requested public Records. such as stamped feled copy of the Indictment In Cause CR-1998-016. Also the Minutes of the Grand Jury proceedings, CERTIFIED Copies Showing Elected, Empaneled, Sworn and signed by the presiding judge prior to the Coorts Adjournment.

This Request also was devised. The witness for the appellee's said It would be impossible to provide appellant scruggs with

Question Presented

This Appeal Arrives here from the Chancery Court of Lee County but jurisdiction being in Alcorn County Chancery Court, Appellant Scruggs has Standary to bring this Action as a citizen of this state Aggereved by the Conduct of the Alcorn County Ciacuit Clerk, by his denial by not beyntfording Certain Requested public Records as Required by Miss. Code Ann 25-61-1 + 25-61-13, Thus Scruggs seeks Relief there under.

Legal Authority

These counts has stated that the Right to be such in the country of one's Residence is a valuable Right, not a MERE technicality.

Jefferson V. Mayer, 205 502d 281, 283 (MISS. 1967) Crosby V. Robertson, 243 MISS. 420, 426, 137 502d 916, 918 (1962) Long V. Patterson, 198 MISS. 554, 562, 22 30.2d 490, 492 (1945)

This appeal calli into question constitutionality of the circuit clerk of placen county to demy public access.

(A). The Right of a private Citizen to challenge his denial of his Right of Access to public Records under Statutory Authority, (B). The Right of a private Citizen to challenge the denial of his Rights to inspect, Copy or Mechanically Reproduce or obtain A legable Reproduction of any public Record of a public body in accordance with Reasonable written procedures shall be given by the public body, Must Address the applicability of the open Record Act to these public records.

Appellant Scauges clasures that the denial of the circuit Clerk Access to Requested public Records, that this Injury constitutes the

ARQUMENT of the facts

This ARQUMENT PERSISTS that citerias should have the Anthority to challenge the Constitutionality and lor Review of State officials Actions. And if Individuals do not have such anthority, how else MAY Constitutional Conflicts can be Raised.

This is particularly true when a public official charged with such duty fails to Act.

Constitutional litigation MAY be MAINTAINED IN CASES where there compliance with the Statute, or discrimination Agazust, or when a decision hinders proper State duty.

FURTHERMORE, PROUTDING ACCESS to public Records Is a duty of EACH public body and Automation of public Records Must not exode the Right of Access to those Records.

The Chancellon's Ruling and fendings IN this CASE AMOUNTS to MONI FESTLY WRONG. Clearly erroneous, subject to Abuse of discretion standards.

Attorney fees ARE Expenses" that you be Augaded Agazas / state Extety
for willfully And knowingly decyting public Records Request.

The CERCUET Cleak ARE Subject to disclosure under the public Records Act and liable for \$100 cert penalty and association's expenses including Mailing and other expenses suffered by appellant.

Il must acknowledged that the concert chek dad not felly comply with the public records request, to satisfy the obligation exposed

swant by appollant by the Mississippe Public Records Act to disclose Requested information

Clerks office, subject to Review by the general public presund to the Public Records Act set forth in Section Miss. code And 25-41.1 Intermation contained in the Ratio Repost is manufaled by the

and Reverse and Remand due to the Manifestly warmy and clearly ERROWIOUS Rolling. This Houseable Court shall Review the Charcellou's findings of fact

There is substantial Evidence in these Records, to suppose the ARQUMENT that supposes did not Receive or Aquire the intermeter FROM the Cleaks office.

would be provided to Sowigs. Sotisfies the expectation that all public Records in its possession the Chancellas essed in Concluding that some of the public Roads Must conclude that the Ciacuit Cleak devied public Rewards, and Therefore, based upon the Record before this Houseable Court, it

that these incomplete Release by the Cleak's office, Judicial Record's Required by law to be Koff, are said to import uncasing verify and to be conclusive underse Against all the world as to their THE ERECTIONS findings inherest in the Chancellon's findings that

Existently date, and light Consequences,

not subject to impenchalent. purposts to be on its take in law it is complete, and it is The low Requires the Record to be complete, and when it so

do not exist. The clerk in this couse claims that papers of the Requested Record

circuit clerk to eater Anything on the Records, or to Mantain Rewards of such proceedings of the Covet, which is Mandatory. because of the absolute want of power on the part of the In this case the cleak claims there viewer was any such Records

SUMMARY ARQUMENT

It is the policy of the legislature that public records wast be Available for inspection, copying, Furthermore, providing access to public Records Is a duly of each public body and Automation of public Records Must not exode the Right of access to those records.

heroads MAINTAINED by the CIRCUIT Clerks office ARE Subject to disclosure under the public Records Act.

This matter is an appeal of a devent of Request made under the Mississippi public Records Act, Scruggs made Request for all information Andlor Records perlaining to Cause No. CR. 1998-016 which was deviced.

Then Scruggs Instanted a Complaint In the Alconn County Chancery Court, Against MR JOE Caldwell IN his official capacity as the Executive Director of the Circuit Court.

The CIRCUIT Cleak Then hired Counsel, which set a court date

Scruggs was present at this hemaing, and was provided buts and

Dieces of the Requisted public Records Requested, but was not provided

the full Requested Material, what was provided was unsatisfactory contition

Consisting of unclear copies, others Claimed not to exist or I withe

Mississippi supreme Courts possession: Public Records.

The Chancery Court entered Its Order Denteng Motion to Reconsider which specifically ded not Address the densal Issues.

Motion for Reconsideration to Identity any and all Records which Comparison for Melated to the Requested Information not provided. Information as to any and all such Records destroyed by It and/or tampered, by It during the period of 1998-2006 and to give In writing the location of all originals of Exhibits Affidavits, Indictments, Transcripts of proceedings in this Case.

These public Records Request cleanly is subject to disclosure under the Act.

The Act declares the public policy of MISSISSIPPI to be that:
- public records shall be Aurilable for inspection by any person unless otherwise provided by this chapter, furthermore, It is providing access to public records Is a duty of each public body and Automation of public records Must not exode the Right of access to those records... MISS. Code Ann. 25-61-2 (1999).

The Intent of the legislature is Manifestly clear from these provisions; public Records which do not fall into a charfully defined exception provided by law are entirely open to access by the general public.

Therefore based upon this persuasive record Evidence there was no justification for the Circuit Cleaks denial willful and knowing denial of These Requested public Records.

Relief Requested

ISSUTER A PRETIMENARY And final Enjurction, wherein grant specific Relief under the MESSISSIPPI Public Records Act.

While this Case was filed In Alcorn County Chancery Court
the Chancery Court of LEE County Justice Entered the final
judgement.

Therefore this policese Ruling of the Chancellon, was abuse of discertion, The Records Confessed the SAME, Therefore the Public Records Request in the Chancery Court of Alcorn County has now been Resolved.

Therefore giving Scruggs Standing to bring this action in this honorable Court,

The MESSISSIPPI Public Records Act CREATES A NEW CAUSE OF ACTION
IN FAUDR OF APPEllANT SCRUPPS who has been dented full access to
the Requested public Records

DATE 3-15-07

Appellant
Robert Jhungs # 19644
DCF 3800 contind540
Crewwood M538730

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

This is to certify that I, the undersigned, have this day and date mailed, via United States Mail, postage pre-paid, a true and correct copy of the foregoing and attached instruments to the following:

Ms Betty Sephton	Att Robert J. Mims
P.O Box 249	P.U. Box 1396
Jackson MS 39250	Oxfordus 38655-1396
Chancey Cleck	
MR CALLY W. MCColloy	
000 E WALDROW ST	
00 with US 38834	
This the 15 day of	YARCH , 2007.
	Keluf Steward
	PETITIONER MDOC# <u>14644</u>
	3800 County Rd 540
	Address
	Greenwood MS 38930

Address