IN THE SUPREME COURT OF MISSISSIPPI

LA'KIMBERLY DUDLEY DEFENDANT

FILED

AUG 1 4 2007

VS.

いいない

- 67

.....

METYOR HARRIS PLAINTIFF OFFICE OF THE CLERK SUPREME COURT COURT OF APPEALS NO. 2006-18-01733

APPELLEE-

APPELLANT-

APPEAL FROM THE CHANCERY COURT OF LOWNDES COUNTY, MISSISSIPPI HONORABLE ROBERT L. LANCASTER, CHANCELLOR

NO ORAL ARGUMENTS REQUESTED

BRIEF OF APPELLEE METYOR HARRIS

Curtis H. Austin, MSB. No. Attorney at Law P. O. Box 264 Columbus, MS 39703 Telephone No.: (662) 328-6127 Facsimile No.: (662) 327-2361

August 15, 2007

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Pa	age
CERTIFICATE OF INTERESTED PERSONS	.1
TABLE OF CONTENTS	2
TABLE OF AUTHORITIES	3
STATEMENT OF THE CASE	.4
SUMMARY OF THE ARGUMENT	5
ARGUMENT	5
STANDARD OF REVIEW	6
CONCLUSION	6
CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE	7

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF MISSISSIPPI

LA'KIMBERLY DUDLEY

APPELLANT-DEFENDANT

NO. 2006-ts-017333

VS.

1.56
3

METYOR HARRIS

APPELLEE-PLAINTIFF

CERTIFICATE OF INTERESTED PERSONS

The undersigned counsel of record certifies that the following listed persons have an of

the Supreme and/or the Judges of the Court of Appeals may evaluate possible

disqualification or recusal:

La'Kimberly Dudley 1605 22nd Street North Columbus, MS 39701

Hon. Jeffery J. Hosford, Esq. Attorney at Law 115-A South Lafayette Street Starkville, MS 39759

Ned "Tres" McDonald III, Esq. Counsel for Appellant P. O. Box 436 Tupelo, MS 38802

Honorable Robert L. Lancaster Lowndes County Chancery Court Post Office Box 708 Columbus, MS 39703-0708

Respectfully submitted,

Curtis H. Austin Attorney for the Plaintiff MSB No. 01664 P. O. Box 364 Columbus, MS 39703-02641

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Cases

...]

ز... ب ب

建築が

i.

State of the

J

Albright v. Albright 437 So. 2 nd 1003 (Miss, 1983)	5
Bell v. Parker 563 So 2 nd 594, 596-97 (Miss, 1990).	6
Newson v. Newson 557 So 2 nd 511, 514 (Miss, 1990).	6

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF MISSISSIPPI

LA'KIMBERLY DUDLEY

APPELLANT-DEFENDANT

VS.

METYOR HARRIS

NO. 2006-ts-017333

APPELLEE-PLAINTIFF

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

This case originated in an action brought by the Department of Human Services, seeking child support for the minor child, Jeremy L. Dudley. A stipulated agreement was entered into between the Defendant and Metyor Harris where he agreed to pay the sum of \$125.00 per month. He became delinquent in 2002 and was ordered to pay an additional \$40.00 per month to cover the arrearage. See Exhibit "A". Prior to the action brought by the Appellee in September 2006, the court had never adjudicated the issue of child custody. After hearing testimony from several witnesses, the Chancellor issued a ruling awarding custody to the Appellee. Appellee.

SUMMARY OF THE ARGUMENT

Appellant argued the Chancellor's decision awarding custody to the Appellee was erroneous and an abuse of discretion Appallant's basis for argument is that the Chancellor failed to consider all of the Albright factors and that those factors he did consider were not supported by the evidence. However, the Appellee would argue that so long as their creditable evidence in the record to support the Chancellor's findings of fact, his or her decision should be upheld.

-

States and the second s

1. S. S. S.

1

đ

ARGUMENT

The Chancellor failed to consider all the Albright factors in awarding custody.

In all a case of custody the determining issue is what is in the best interest of the child.

In making that determination the Chancellor must consider what is commonly referred to as the Albright factors which consists of making a finding of fact as to which of the factors favor which parent. Albright v. Albright 437 So. 2nd 1003 (Miss, 1983)

The Chancellor's finding that the stability of the home and employment favor the father. the school record favors the father. The age and sex favor the father. The parenting skills favor the father; and, finding the moral factor favors the father.

The factor which the Appellant alleges the Chancellor failed to address were those factors which could be determined by the observation of the Chancellor during the trial. The reason the Court gives such weight to the Chancellor's finding of fact is their ability to observe the witness and parties in person. The Chancellor considered all the relevant Albright factors in awarding custody to the father. See Exhibit "D".

2. The Chancellor was clearly erroneous in his decision to modify child custody.

First of all, the issue of custody had never been adjudicated. The Chancellor found six (6) factors which favor the father. In making these findings of fact, the Chancellor was able to observe the credibility and demeanor of the witness It is this ability to observe the witness in person which is critical in determining the weight to be given in the testimony offered at trial. See Exhibit "C and D".

STANDARD OF REVIEW

The Court is limited in its scope of review and should only overturn a Chancellor's findings of fact and conclusion of law, if it was "manifestly wrong, clearly erroneous or on erroneous legal standard was applied. *Bell v. Parker 563 So 2nd 594*, *596-97 (Miss, 1990)*. In other words, "on appeal we are required to report the findings of fact made by the Chancellor supported by credible evidence and not manifestly wrong". *Newson v. Newson 557 So 2nd 511, 514 (Miss, 1990)*. The Chancellor as the finder of facts is in a much better position to judge the credible and demeanor of the witness.

CONCLUSIONS

This court should uphold the Chancellor's decision in the above matter and not pick and choose, but considered this case in its totality.

k

لمغد

Respectfully submitted,

METYOR-HARRIS BY:

CURTIS H. AUSTIN, MSB Attorney at Law Attorney for the Plaintiff Post Office Box 264 Columbus, MS 39703-0264 Telephone No.: (662) 328-6127 Telecopier No.: (662) 327-2361

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, CURTIS H. AUSTIN, Attorney for the Plaintiff, Johnny Herron, do certify that I have this day served by First Class Mail, postage pre-paid, a true and correct copy of the above and foregoing **BRIEF OF APPELLEE** to the following, to-wit:

La'Kimberly Dudley 1605 22nd Street North Columbus, MS 39701

Hon. Jeffery J. Hosford, Esq. Attorney at Law 115-A South Lafayette Street Starkville, MS 39759

Ned "Tres" McDonald III, Esq. Counsel for Appellant P. O. Box 436 Tupelo, MS 38802

Honorable Robert L. Lancaster Lowndes County Chancery Court Post Office Box 708 Columbus, MS 39703-0708

SO CERTIFIED this the $\frac{14}{2}$ day of August, A. D., 2007

CURTIS H. AUSTIN, MSB No.

CURTIS H. AUSTIN, MSB No. Attorney at Law P. O. Box 264 Columbus, MS 39703 Telephone No.: (662) 328-6127

IN THE CHANCERY COURT OF LOWNDES COUNTY, MISSISSIPPI PLAINTIFF DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES STATE OF MISSISSIPPI vs. CIVIL ACTION FEB 20 2003 FILE NO: 2001-0702 DEFENDANT METYOR HARRIS Cheel & Gamper

HAR PAYMENT SCHEDULE FOR JUDGMENT APPROVING STIPULATED DELINQUENT CHILD SUPPORT

STIPULATED AGREEMENT AND PAYMENT SCHEDULE FOR DELINQUENT CHILD А SUPPORT HAVING BEEN FILED IN THIS ACTION AND PRESENTED FOR APPROVAL, AND THE COURT HAVING CONSIDERED SAME FINDS, ORDERS, AND ADJUDGES:

1.

THE COURT HAS JURISDICTION OVER THE PARTIES AND THE SUBJECT MATTER.

STIPULATED AGREEMENT AND PAYMENT SCHEDULE FOR DELINQUENT THE CHILD SUPPORT, A COPY OF WHICH IS ATTACHED HERETO AS EXHIBIT "A", IS HEREBY APPROVED IN ALL RESPECTS AND IS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE INTO THIS JUDGMENT.

2.

з.

COURT COSTS ARE HEREBY ASSESSED TO PLAINTIFF AND PLAINTIFF IS GRANTED A MONEY JUDGMENT AGAINST DEFENDANT FOR SAID COSTS.

DAY OF AND ADJUDGED, ON THIS THE SO ORDERED 25 2103

PRESENTED TO THE COURT BY:

SSN: 331629821

中国である

JASON BAYLES PRACE BUILD POST OFFICE BOX 1347 COLUMBUS, MS 397031347 (662) 329-5742 TELEPHONE: A519 BAR NO: 10803



A

IN THE CHANCERY COURT OF LOW DES EQUNTY, MISSISSIPPI METYOR HARRIS JUL 2 0 2006 PLAINTIFF

VERSUS

لاً]

Chai Jourge Messe Chancery Clerk

NO.: 2001-0702 -F

LA'KIMBERLY DUDLEY and MISSISSIPPI DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES DEFENDANTS

PETITION FOR CUSTODY AND VISITATION

COMES NOW, METYOR HARRIS, Natural Father of Jeremy Dudley, minor. and Metyor Harris, Individually and file this his Petition for Custody and Visitation against LA'KIMBERLY DUDLEY, natural mother of the said minor herein, who would show unto the Court the following facts, to-wit:

That said minor, Jeremy Dudley was born on June 06, 1997 in Lowndes County, Mississippi. Further, that said minor; Jeremy Dudley presently resides with La'Kimberly Dudley and has done so since his birth.

I.

That Metyor Harris, natural father of the minor child Jeremy Dudley, is an adult resident citizen of Lowndes County, Mississippi whose present post office and resident address is 302 Dowdle Drive, Columbus, Mississippi 39702.

That La'Kimberly Dudley, natural mother of said minor, Jeremy Dudley, is an adult, resident citizen of the State of Mississippi whose current post office and resident address is 1605 22nd St. North, Columbus, Mississippi. Said Defendant can be served with notice by personal service of process.

That the Mississippi State Department of Human Services can be served with notice through its registered agent, Jim Hood, Mississippi State Attorney General, whose address is Office of the Attorney General, State of Mississippi, High Street, Jackson, Mississippi.

Н.



.1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
1	IN THE CHANCERY COURT OF LOWNDES COUNTY, MISSISSIPPI
2	
3	MEYTOR HARRIS PLAINTIFF
4	VS. 01-702
5	LA'KIMBERLY DUDLEY DEFENDANTS
6	
7	
8	
9	***************************************
10 [.]	TRANSCRIPT OF THE PROCEEDINGS HAD AND DONE IN THE TRIAL OF
11	TRANSCRIPT OF THE PROCEEDINGS HAD AND DONE IN THE HONORABLE THE ABOVE-STYLED AND NUMBERED CAUSE, BEFORE THE HONORABLE ROBERT L. LANCASTER, CHANCELLOR, ON THE 28TH DAY OF AUGUST, 2006
12	**************************************
13	
14	
15	VOLUME I OF I
16	
17	
18	APPEARANCES:
19	
20	Present and Representing the Plaintiff:
21	CURTIS AUSTIN, Esquire P.O. Box 296 Columbus, MS 39703
22	Columbus, MS 39703
23	
24	Present and Representing the Defendant:
25	LA'KIMBERLY DUDLEY, Pro Se
26	
27	
28	
29	

IN THE CHANCERY C		SSISSIPPI
METYOR HARRIS		PLAINTIFF
VERSUS	SEP - 8 2006	NO. 01-702
LA'KIMBERLY DUDLEY	Chancery Clerk	DEFENDANT

JUDGEMENT

がい

This cause is before the Court upon the Plaintiff's complaint for custody. The Defendant was lawfully served and appeared and defended pro se. The Court heard proof and argument and now finds and adjudges as follows:

The subject child, Jeremy L. Dudley, was born on June 6, 1997. On October 29, 2001, this cause was begun with the filing of the Plaintiff's stipulated agreement of support and admission of paternity. The agreement was supported by genetic testing results dated October 5, 2001 showing a probability of paternity in the Plaintiff of 99,999%. On November 8, 2001, an order was entered approving the stipulated agreement pursuant to the statute and paternity was established. No award of custody was made but the Plaintiff was ordered to pay child support to the mother of the child in the amount of \$125.00 per month.

In December 2002, the Plaintiff was in arrears in child support in the amount of \$1,350.00 and was ordered to pay the arrears at the rate of \$40.00 per month by order dated February 2003. On July 20, 2006, the Plaintiff filed the instant complaint for custody. There being no previous judgement awarding custody and the Plaintiff having assumed the responsibilities of parenthood by the order of November 8, 2001, the determination of custody is made by a consideration of the Albright factors.

The proof which addressed these factors is stated as follows: The child is a nine year old

