THOMAS LITTLETON

The last of the la

APPELLANT

VS.

PCT 2.9 2007 CAUSE NO: 2006-CA-01545

STATE OF MISSISSIPPI

OFFICE OF THE CLIFRK SUPPREME COURT COURT OF APPEALS

APPELLEE

REBUTTAL BRIEF OF THE APPELLANT

COURT APPEALED FROM: CIRCUIT COURT

COUNTY: YAZOO

TRIAL JUDGE: MIKE SMITH

TRIAL COURT #: 25-9702

GUY N. ROGERS, JR. ATTORNEY FOR APPELLANT 2679 CRANE RIDGE DR., SUITE B JACKSON, MS 39216 (601) 982-1455 (601) 982-1458 MSB# I, GUY N. ROGERS, JR., ATTORNEY FOR THE APPELLANT

THOMAS LITTLETON, DO HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE

FOLLOWING PERSONS HAVE AN INTEREST IN THIS CASE:

- 1. ATTORNEY GENERAL JIM HOOD CARROL GARTIN JUSTICE BUILDING 450 HIGH ST., FIFTH FLOOR JACKSON, MISSISSIPPI 39201
- 2. HONORABLE JUDGE MIKE SMITH P.O. BOX 108 YAZOO CITY, MISSISSIPPI 39194
- 3. HONORABLE JAMES H. POWELL III, DISTRICT ATTORNEY P.O. BOX 108 YAZOO CITY, MISSISSIPPI 39194
- 4. THOMAS LITTLETON, APPELLANT MDOC # 49627 UNIT 42 R217-A PARCHMAN, MISSISSIPPI 38738

I FURTHER CERTIFY THAT I HAVE THIS DAY MAILED BY U.S. MAIL, POSTAGE PREPAID, AND A TRUE AND CORRECT COPY OF APPELLANT'S BRIEF TO THE INDIVIUALS LISTED HEREIN.

THIS, THE 29 DAY OF OCTOBER, 2007.

2. TABLE OF CASES AND AUTHORIITES ii 3. ISSUES ON APPEAL 4. FACTS-PROCEDURAL HISTORY 1 5. SUMMARY OF ARGUMENT 2 6. ARGUMENT AND LAW APPLIED TO FACTS 7. REBUTTAL ARGUMENT AND SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION 8. CONCLUSION 8 9. CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE 9	1. CERTIFICATE OF INTERESTED PERSONS	1
4. FACTS-PROCEDURAL HISTORY 5. SUMMARY OF ARGUMENT 6. ARGUMENT AND LAW APPLIED TO FACTS 7. REBUTTAL ARGUMENT AND SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION 8. CONCLUSION 8	2. TABLE OF CASES AND AUTHORITES	ii
5. SUMMARY OF ARGUMENT 2 6. ARGUMENT AND LAW 3 APPLIED TO FACTS 7. REBUTTAL ARGUMENT AND 4 SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION 8. CONCLUSION 8	3. ISSUES ON APPEAL	iii
 6. ARGUMENT AND LAW APPLIED TO FACTS 7. REBUTTAL ARGUMENT AND SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION 8. CONCLUSION 8 	4. FACTS-PROCEDURAL HISTORY	1
APPLIED TO FACTS 7. REBUTTAL ARGUMENT AND 4 SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION 8. CONCLUSION 8	5. SUMMARY OF ARGUMENT	2
SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION 8. CONCLUSION 8		3
		4
9. CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE 9	8. CONCLUSION	8
	9. CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE	9

2. <u>Solem vs. Helm</u>, 463 U.S. 277 S. CT. 3001, 77 L.Ed.2d 637 (1983)

1. Davis vs State, 124 50, 2d 342 (Miss. 1980)

Appellant adopts and incorporates herein by reference the Issues on Appeal as previously set forth in the original Brief of Appellant.

Appellant adopts and incorporates herein by reference the Facts and Procedural History as previously set forth in the original Brief of Appellant.

Appellant adopts and incorporates herein by reference the Summary of the Argument as previously set forth in the original Brief of Appellant.

Appellant adopts and incorporates herein by reference the Argument and Law Applied to the Facts as previously set forth in the original Brief of Appellant.

Appellant would show that during the time that Judge Mike

Smith was on the bench in the Circuit Court of Yazoo County, he

conducted many guilty plea hearings and sentenced many Defendants

for drug crimes.

In nearly every case that involved the crime of possession of cocaine, possession of cocaine with the intent to distribute, sale of cocaine, and other controlled substance crimes such as possession of precursors, manufacture of methamphetamine, possession of marijuana, these Defendants received suspended sentence. The Appellant Thomas Littleton is the only Defendant that received eight (8) years, two (2) years suspended, and six (6) years to serve. Nearly every case of possession of cocaine with intent to distribute was reduced to possession only by the District Attorney.

See RECORD EXCERPTS, pp. 1-45, which are copies of all sentencing orders that involved a drug crime during Judge Smith's term in Yazoo County.

other Defendants with the same or similar charges.

This documentation refutes the State's argument that the Court "cannot act upon statements in briefs or arguments of counsel which are not reflected by the record." BRIEF OF STATE, P. 4-5, citing Porter vs. State, 749 So2d, 256 (Miss.App. 1999), in Triggs vs. State 803 So2d, 1229, 1238 (Miss. App. 2002).

Littleton was grossly disproportionate to the sentences received by

Appellant would specifically direct the Court to the following Sentencing Orders, copies of which are found in RECORD EXCERPTS (REBUTTAL):

- State vs. Louisa Litttleton, Cause No: 25-9702-Louisa
 Littleton is the <u>Co-Defendant</u> in the initial case and she
 received eight (8) years, <u>SUSPENDED</u> sentence. RE, P.1-2.
- 2. <u>State vs. Tossidy Jackson</u>, Cause No. 25-9893-Possession of Cocaine, five (5) years <u>SUSPENDED</u>. RE, P.7-8.
- 3. <u>State vs. Cornelius Peoples</u>, Cause No. 25-9668-Fondling, three (3) years <u>SUSPENDED</u>. RE, P.11-12.

- Cocaine, seven (7) years <u>SUSPENDED</u>. RE, P.14-15.
 - State vs. Jonathan Dees, Cause No. 25-9904A-Possession of Oxycontin, NON-ADJUDICATED. RE, P.18.
 - 6. <u>State vs. Michael David Patterson</u>, Cause No. 25-9908-Manufacture of Methamphetamine, twenty (20) years, SUSPENDED. RE, P.19-20.
 - 7. <u>State vs. Jason Crosby</u>, Cause No. 25-9965-Gratification of Lust, One (1) year to serve. RE, P.21.
 - 8. <u>State vs. Yarvin Little</u>, Cause No. 24-9528-Sale of Marijuana, <u>NON-ADJUDICATED</u>. RE, P.33.
 - State vs. Daniel "Danny" Lee Tyer, Cause No. 25-9910C-Possession of Precursors, five (5) years <u>SUSPENDED</u>. RE. P.37-38.

Based on these sentences and the other sentencing orders that are included in the Record Excerpts, it is clear that the Appellant's sentence is grossly disproportionate to other Defendants charged with the same or similar crimes and that this sentence was the result of bias

Helm, 463 U.S. 277, S. CT. 3001, 77 L. Ed.2d 637 (1983). The sentence further falls within the purview of the holding in <u>Davis vs.</u>

State, 724 So. 2d 342 (Miss. 1998) wherein the sentence is so severe that on the record it is inexplicable and justifies remanding the matter to the trial court for further consideration. <u>Id</u> @ 345.

Appellant would respectfully request that this case be remanded to the trial court for imposition of the sentence recommended by the State or at least for re-sentencing in conformity with the sentences received by other Yazoo County defendants meted out by the trial court under Special Circuit Judge Mike Smith.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED:

THOMAS LITTLETON

BY:

JUY N. KOGERS, JR.

GUY N. ROGERS, JR. ATTORNEY FOR APPELLANT 2679 CRANE RIDGE DRIVE SUITE B JACKSON, MISSISSIPPI 39216 601-982-1455 601-982-1458 MSB# I, Guy N. Rogers, Jr., Attorney for Appellant Thomas Littleton, do hereby certify that I have this day mailed via United States mail, postage prepaid, a true and correct copy of the foregoing Rebuttal Brief of Appellant to the following:

ATTORNEY GENERAL JIM HOOD CARROL GARTIN JUSTICE BUILDING 450 HIGH ST., FIFTH FLOOR JACKSON, MISSISSIPPI 39201

HONORABLE JUDGE MIKE SMITH P.O. BOX 108 YAZOO CITY, MISSISSIPPI 39194

HONORABLE JAMES H. POWELL III, DISTRICT ATTORNEY P.O. BOX 108 YAZOO CITY, MISSISSIPPI 39194

THOMAS LITTLETON, APPELLANT MDOC # 49627 UNIT 42 R217-A PARCHMAN, MISSISSIPPI 38738

THIS, the 29 day of October, 2007.

Suy N. Rogers, Jr. GUY N/ROGERS, JR.